

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/602,695	ROH, SU-HYEON
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Anthony Q. Edwards	2835

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Anthony Q. Edwards.

(3) _____.

(2) Paul F. Daebeler.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 September 2005

Time: 9:45 am

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Office Action mailed 3/9/05 and Response filed 7/11/05

Claims discussed:

15

Prior art documents discussed:

US Pat No. 6,487,081 to Homer

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to Examiner's Amendment to method claim 13, which conforms to apparatus claims 1 and 8.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)